

<b>PROPOSAL FORM FOR AGENDA ITEMS FOR SCRUTINY COMMITTEES</b>		
<b>NAME OF SCRUTINY COMMITTEE</b>	Partnership Scrutiny Committee	
<b>DATE OF MEETING / TIMESCALE FOR CONSIDERATION</b>	Prior to 30 April 2012 (end of Consultation and Feedback period which commenced 20 February).	
<b>TITLE OF REPORT</b>	Partnerships Governance Toolkit	
<b>P U R P O S E</b>	<b>1. Why is the report being proposed? (see also the checklist overleaf)</b>	For the Partnership Scrutiny Committee to comment on the Partnership Governance Toolkit and accompanying Implementation Schedule
	<b>2. What issues are to be scrutinised?</b>	Contents of the documentation and Implementation schedule.
	<b>3. Is it necessary/desirable for witnesses to attend e.g. lead members, officers/external experts?</b>	Alan Smith / Carol Evans
	<b>4. What will the committee achieve by considering the report?</b>	An input into and understanding of the proposed Partnership Governance Framework / Toolkit
	<b>5. Score the topic from 0 – 4 on aims &amp; priorities and impact (see overleaf)*</b>	<b>Aims &amp; Priorities</b> 2
<b>ADDITIONAL COMMENTS</b>	A key priority for the governance documentation is to ensure there is a coherent partnership framework in Denbighshire, there are improved processes for monitoring partnerships, which in turn will improve the Council's performance.	
<b>REPORTING PATH – what is the next step? Are Scrutiny's recommendations to be reported elsewhere?</b>	N / A	
<b>AUTHOR</b>	<b>Carol Evans, Assistant Planning and Performance Officer</b>	

Please complete the following checklist:

	Yes	No
Is the topic already being addressed satisfactorily?		✓
Is Scrutiny likely to result in service improvements or other measurable benefits?	✓	
Does the topic concern a poor performing service or a high budgetary commitment?		✓
Are there adequate resources / realistic possibility of adequate resources to achieve the objective(s)?	✓	
Is the Scrutiny activity timely, i.e. will scrutiny be able to recommend changes to the service delivery, policy, strategy, etc?	✓	
Is the topic linked to corporate or scrutiny aims and priorities?	✓	
Has the topic been identified as a risk in the Corporate Risk Register or is it the subject of an adverse internal audit or external regulator report?	✓	

\*The following table is to be used to guide the scores given:

Score	Aims & Priorities	Impact
0	No links to corporate/scrutiny aims and priorities	No potential benefits
1	No links to corporate/scrutiny aims and priorities but a subject of high public concern	Minor potential benefits affecting only one ward/customer/client group
2	Some evidence of links, but indirect	Minor benefits to two groups/moderate benefits to one
3	Good evidence linking the topic to both aims and priorities	Moderate benefits to more than one group/substantial benefits to one
4	Strong evidence linking both aims and priorities, and has a high level of public concern	Substantial community-wide benefits

### SCORING

#### Aims & Priorities

4	Possible topic for Scrutiny – to be timetabled appropriately	Priority topic for Scrutiny – for urgent consideration
3		
(2)	Reject topic for Scrutiny – topic to be circulated to members for information purposes	Possible topic for Scrutiny – to be timetabled appropriately
1		X

0

1

2

(3)  
Impact

4